

Distinct lithologic suites (with age Sedimentary and or metamorphic, undivided: lighter shades of each are offshore label prefix): lighter shades of each are offshore v Extrusive; igneous, undivided Cz Cenozoic Neogene and Quaternary f Felsic intrusive suites (granite, tonalite) b Gabbro suite (also anorthosite) Pg Paleogene K Cretaceous (often includes Paleogene) P Peridotite suite × Impact breccia J Jurassic (often includes Cretaceous) T Triassic (often includes Jurassic) Cratons, shield areas Pz Paleozoic (mostly Cambrian to Devonian) ASI Slave Craton: felsic intrusive suites Pe Permian (often includes Triassic) AR Rae Craton: intrusive undivided C Carboniferous (often includes Permian) AH Hearne Craton: felsic intrusive suites Devonian (often includes Carboniferous) AM Murmansk craton: felsic intrusive suites S Silurian (often includes Devonian) AK Karelian Craton: felsic intrusive suites • Ordovician (often includes Silurian) ^{Cm} Cambrian (often includes Ordovician) AN North Atlantic Craton: intrusive undivided Pr Proterozoic (up to Devonian in Yukon and Alaska) AA Anabar shield: sedimentary undivided Neoproterozoic (often includes Cambrian) Mesoproterozoic

P Paleoproterozoic

A, AP Archean, Archean and Paleoproterozoic

NORWEGIAN BASIN Norwegian Sea 20° TO° West OCEAN North Sea EXPLANATORY NOTES Russia which commenced in 2003 (Petrov & Smelror, 2015). The interest readers, this to be made available in several languages. 000 version. The first four products were: •Geological Map of the Arctic (Harrison et al., 2008) •Magnetic Map of the Arctic (Gaina et al., 2011) •Gravity anomaly Map of the Arctic (Gaina et al., 2011) •Tectonic Map of the Arctic (Petrov et al., 2013)

The database for metals follows the FODD model which can be viewed and explained using the sources listed above. Legal requirements and restrictions as well as the level of publicly available knowledge lead to unavoidable variations in the availability of data on specific deposits. Data on reserves in the deposits in Russia and on the plans for their extraction are based on official reports to central authorities: these data have been made available to the project. Data on past production in Russia are not publicly available so that it is not possible to document the total original tonnage and grade of deposits which have been in production for many years. The FODD classification "Potentially Large" exists in order to classify deposits for which the available geological information clearly indicates the overall size of a deposit though without detailed information on tonnage and grades. This category is also used for deposits from which detailed information is publicly available only for specific intersections but for which more general information gives clear indications of a major tonnage. Diamond deposits in production and other large/very large deposits are included in a simplified FODD structure. The ProMine classification (in carats/deposit) was used and the "cut-off" is 10 million carats. Hydrothermal vents and deposits at the North Atlantic Ridge are shown, but not classified, as their grades and tonnages are not known. Most of the data are taken from the InterRidge Vents Database (ver.3.3):

The Legend

The Database

The legend for deposit information is broadly based on that used in the FODD maps, with the addition of symbols for hydrothermal fields and The Circum-Arctic Mineral Resource project was initiated at a meeting in Toronto in March, 2012. Important decisions taken at an early stage diamond deposits. Aluminium is included as a base metal in this legend because of the extensive mining operations in bauxite in Russia •The project should focus on the largest deposits of metals and and because of the assessment of major kyanite deposits on the Kola

diamonds, these being the mineral resources with the highest unit Peninsula as important potential sources of alumina in Russia. values, as opposed to industrial minerals (in general), construction

materials and fertilizer minerals. References •Data on the deposits should be compiled in a database modeled on the detabase produced in the Company of the Deposit Detabase in the detabase produced in the Company of the Deposit Detabase the database produced in the Fennoscandian Ore Deposit Database 2007. Fennoscandian Ore Deposit Database. (FODD) project

were:

2011)

Geological Survey of Finland, Report of Investigation 168, 17p. Gaina, C., S. Werner, R. Saltus, S. Maus, S. Aaro, D. Damaske, R. Forsberg, V. Glebovsky, K. http://en.gtk.fi/informationservices/databases/fodd/index.html). •In order to focus on the most important deposits and to restrict the Pilkington, T. Rasmussen, B. Schreckenberger and M. Smelror. 2011. Circum-Arctic mapping database to a more manageable size it was decided that the project would consider only the deposits in the FODD categories. Large, Very would consider only the deposits in the FODD categories, Large, Very Geological Society of London (Memoirs 35): 39-48. Large and Potentially Large (see: Eilu et al. (2007) for definitions). Harrison, J.C., M.R. St-Onge, O. Petrov, S. Strelnikov, B. Lopatin, F. Wilson, S. Tella, D. Paul, •The products of the project, in addition to the database, would T. Lynds, S. Shokalsky, C. Hults, S. Bergman, H.F. Jepsen and A. Solli. 2011. Geological map include a map (this work), a web-site for distribution of the information The map Metal and Mineral Deposits of the Arctic is the fifth in a series resulting from cooperation between the national geological institutions of the US, Canada, Greenland, Iceland, Norway, Sweden, Finland and the US and a briefer description of the results aimed at general interest readers, this to be made available in several languages.

Russia which commenced in 2003 (Petrov & Smeiror, 2015). The objective was to produce digital geological and geophysical maps for the Arctic region north of 60°N at a scale of 1:5 000 000 and also a 1:10 00 Known hydrothermal fields on oceanic spreading axes should be GEUS : Jochen Kolb, Frands Schjøth, Símun Olsen, Lars L. Sørensen included and shown using a black triangular symbol, without any supposition of size or grade. Metallic nodules are known to occur in SGU: Anders Hallberg GTK: Jouni Vuollo, Taina Eloranta, Pasi Eilu several parts of the seabed in the Arctic: it was decided that these VSEGEL : Artem Terekhov, Anatoly Molchanov, Vitaly Shatov

13481 (EGU General Assembly 2013). GSC : Lesley Chorlton, Christopher Harrison