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#### Abstract

This calibration report compiled the test and calibration results performed during the operations. Results were digitally recorded and could be sent upon request from the client. All the results were GPS processed prior to calculation.

Part A and B contain calibration results obtain for PA31 C-FWNG and PA31 C-GJDD respectively. No equipment have been changes, modified or swapped during the whole survey period. The calibration tests involved the following instruments.


- Three Geometrics G-822A Cesium optical vapour pumping magnetometers of the last generation, installed inside the stinger and inside the extensions of the wing-tip pods of the aircraft;
- Three Radiation Solutions Inc. (RSI) RS-500 Digital Airborne Gamma-Ray Spectrometers for the detection and measurement of low level radiation from naturally occurring sources. Each spectrometer includes 5 crystals RSX- 5 detector: 16.72 litres (1024 in ${ }^{3}$ ) Nal detector downward looking, plus a 4.18 litres ( 256 in $^{3}$ ) upward looking.
- A Novatem data-acquisition and compensator system unit, especially developed by Novatem for the Very High Resolution, based on the use of an inertial measurement unit and very robust inversion algorithms for the calculation of coefficients.
- An Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) manufactured by Honeywell, providing the attitude angles of the aircraft (roll, pitch, yaw) in real time for both the compensation and the correction of the gradients.
- An orientation sensor (3DM) manufactured by MicroStrain , which incorporates 3 accelerometers and 3 magnetometers together, providing the attitude angles of the aircraft (roll, pitch, yaw) in real time for both the compensation and the correction of the gradients.
- A very high-resolution laser altimeter manufactured by Optech, integrated inside the rear of the aircraft. It measures the height of the aircraft above the ground with a precision of one centimetre, without calibration;
- A TRA 4000 radar altimeter manufactured by Free Flight Systems, integrated below the aircraft, to measure the height of the aircraft above the ground when the clearance is too high for the laser (sharp valley);
- A double frequency Novatel Propack-V3 GPS providing a in real-time positioning with an accuracy of about one meter. The differential corrections are recomputed after the flights using the Waypoint GrafNav software to provide centimetre accuracy;
- A very efficient draping navigation system jointly developed by Softnav and Novatem to minimize the differences at the intersections of the flight lines and the control lines;
- Due to the large scale of the survey and restraint access to islands, the installation of several base stations was problematic. However, , two permanent base stations managed by the Tromsø Geophysical Observatory at University of Tromsø and three permanent base stations managed by IMAGE (International Monitor for Auroral Geomagnetism Effects) surrounding the survey area were also used to cover the entire survey area during all the survey operation.

Data compilation and results analysis were done by Pierrick Chasseriau, PhD. for Novatem Inc.

## A. Base stations synchronisation and Aircrafts consistency

Date: 2012.02.07-2011.07.08
Location: Western NORWAY
Aircraft: PA31 C-FWNG, C-GJDD
Instrument: Magnetometer stations: GSM-19 Overhauser, 1 Hz Magnetometers onboard: G-823 Cesium magnetometer, $\mathbf{1 0 H z}$
Locations: NOVATEM station: Vigra, NORWAY; 6.075574362 .5661829 TGO station: Solund, NORWAY; 4.840061 .0870

TGO station: Dombas, NORWAY; 9.110062 .0700 TGO station: Rorvik, NORWAY; 10.987264 .9469


C-FWNG: MAGR1, 2, 3: Vigra Airport, NORWAY; 6.1102262 .55769
C-GJDD: MAGR1, 2, 3: Vigra Airport, NORWAY; 6.1098862 .55766

Concurrent measurements of magnetic base station and aircraft magnetometer were done during the night of the $7^{\text {th }}$ of February thru the $8^{\text {th }}$ of February 2012. 500000 readings have been recorded. For processing, the magnetic base stations were interpolated in two dimensions in order to get the best estimation at the position of the aircraft. Preliminary results have been given only with the diurnal correction from the station 1.

According to the specification of the contract, maximum allowed diurnal variation is $100 \mathrm{nT} / \mathrm{h}$, $35 \mathrm{nT} / 10 \mathrm{~min}$ and $15 \mathrm{nT} / 2 \mathrm{~min}$. Nevertheless, since there are only few weeks available to fly the whole project, and taking into account that the base stations installed cover a large area, making the diurnal correction very accurate, days with little activity have been considered valid. Note that the base stations on the following graphics have the same dynamic range, centred for each profiles. Thus, the axis scale on the left represents only Novatem station at Vigra.


Figure 1: Magnetic base stations (MB) and aircrafts (C-GJDD, C-FWNG) synchronisation

## CALIBRATION TESTS - C-FWNG

## B. Magnetometers noise

Date: 2011.07.07
Location: Alta airport, NORWAY
Instruments: Magnetometers: G-823 Cesium magnetometer, $\mathbf{1 0 H z}$

Temperature: $16.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at sea level
Pressure: 101.4 kPa at sea level
Flying Height: 60 m

Noise level is evaluated on a test line over a distance greater than 4 km . For convenience, the 10 km test line used during the survey was then analysed for the purpose.

The graphic below shows the normalized fourth difference for each of the three magnetometers installed in the aircrafts. Requirement for the Finnmark campaign is 0.1 units fourth difference, which is clearly above the three mounted magnetometers evaluated.

MAGR1, 2 and 3 represents left, right and tail total raw magnetic field respectively.





Figure 2: Magnetometers $4^{\text {th }}$ difference

## C. COMPENSATION BASED ON A PHYSICAL MODEL

Date: 2011.07.07
Location: North West of Alta, Norwegian Sea, NORWAY

Instruments: - Magnetometers onboard: G823 Cesium magnetometer, 10 Hz

- Inertial measurement unit (IMU), Honeywell HG 1700
AG62, 10Hz
Temperature: $16.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at sea level
Pressure: 101.4 kPa at sea level
Flying Height: 3000 m



## Calibration flight (FOM)

In practice, the calibration flight follows a precise and reproducible geometry, called Figure of Merit (FOM) during which the aircraft describes successively three pitch oscillations ( $\pm 5^{\circ}$ ), three roll oscillations $\left( \pm 10^{\circ}\right)$ and three yaw oscillations $\left( \pm 5^{\circ}\right)$ with a period of a few seconds. The four principal directions are described this way. The turns between each line are not taken into account for the calculation of the coefficients.

## Estimation OF The CoEfficients

The calculation of the coefficients is to determine the mathematical solution which minimizes the differences between the measured signals and those generated by the model. The disturbance field being described as a linear combination of the direction cosine and terrestrial field, the least square algorithm is particularly designated. The problems caused by the correlations between the columns of the matrix to inverse are easy to diagnose using the eigenvalues of the matrix. To do so, we calculate an index by submitting the ratio of the largest on the smallest eigenvalue. In practice, it is considered that this index should not exceed $10^{3}$. In certain cases, we will be able to observe strong colinearities when certain variables are not used, such as the absence of eddy currents. An effective manner to solve this problem of multicollinearity consists in using the method known as regression ridge. In the case where the matrix is badly conditioned, then the coefficients have a variance much little than when a least square algorithm is used. The general idea is to shift the eigenvalues of the matrix by a small constant. Thus the largest eigenvalues, which have a real significance, are slightly modified, whereas the lowest eigenvalues - which cause problem at the inversion - are significantly modified. The implementation of the regression ridge thus allowed us to avoid the problems of numerical instability and to improve our algorithm.

Results

The following figures show the results obtained by the calibration flights carried out at 3000 m of altitude North West of Alta in the Norwegian Sea, NORWAY. As the blocks have different flight line orientations, two Figures of Merit were respectively flown according to the course:

| Branch | FRASW -SAS | FRASE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line 1 | N 0 | N 350 |
| Line 2 | N 270 | N 260 |
| Line 3 | N 180 | N 170 |
| Line 4 | N 90 | N 80 |

Table 1 : FOM line directions
Flying the calibration figures in the same directions as the survey flight lines, we optimize the coefficients for these directions, as they are the one we will use.


Figure 3 : Figure of merit over regional magnetic first vertical derivative
Each figure of merit includes 4 lines (L1, L2, L3 and L4) flown at high altitude, in an area with a low vertical gradient, and following a figure in a clover shape. Each line is thus flown in the two directions in respect with the direction of the lines and tie-lines.
D. Heading and absolute accuracy test

Date: 2011.06.06
Location: Bourget, Quebec, CANADA
Instruments: Magnetometers: G-823
Cesium magnetometer, $\mathbf{1 0 H z}$
Temperature: $20.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at sea level
Pressure: 100.2 kPa at sea level
Flying Height: 305 m


This test is performed over an easily recognised point on the ground. The purpose is to ensure that aeromagnetic survey system measures the total field values with an absolute accuracy of 10 nT or less after the aircraft has been compensated. The result from the test together with the FOM is also used to remove aircraft influence on magnetic data (heading error).

The following tables resume the values measured at the intersection of the lines, for the four directions and for the three magnetometers.

## AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY SYSTEM CALIBRATION TEST RANGES AT BOURGET，ONTARIO AND MEANOOK，ALBERTA

AIRCRAFT TYPE AND REGISTRATION：PIPER PA31 C－FWNG
ORGANIZATION（COMPANY）：NOVATEM INC．
MAGNETOMETER TYPE：GEOMETRICS，LEFT WING
MAGNETOMETER SERIAL NUMBER：
COMPILED BY：OLIVIER SAVIGNET，ENG

DATE：2011．06．06
HEIGHT FLOWN：1000＿FEET
SAMPLING RATE： 10 ／SECOND
DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM：NOVATEM INC．

| Direction of flight across the Crossroads | Time that Survey Aircraft was over the Crossroads （HH／MM／SS） Greenwich Mean Time | Total Field Value（ nT ） Recorded in Survey Aircraft over Crossroads （T1） | Observatory Diurnal Reading at Previous Minute i．e． <br> Hours＋Minutes <br> （T2）from Printout | Observatory Diurnal Reading at Subsequent Minute i．e． $H$ hours $+(M+1)$ mins．（T3） from Printout | Interpolated Observatory Diurnal Reading at Time H hours +M mins＋S sec $\mathrm{T} 4=\mathrm{T} 2+\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{T} 3-\mathrm{T} 2)$ －ーーー－ <br> 60 | Calculated Observatory Value $\mathrm{T} 5=\mathrm{T} 4-\mathrm{C}^{*}$ | Error Value T6＝T1 T5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORTH | 14：34：23．4 | 54315.92 | 54903.45 | 54904.47 | 54903.49 | 54353.49 | －37．57 |
| SOUTH | 14：16：02．9 | 54315.55 | 54906.90 | 54907.15 | 54906.98 | 54356.98 | －41．43 |
| EAST | 14：26：04．9 | 54310.94 | 54905.21 | 54903.13 | 54904.79 | 54354.79 | －43．85 |
| WEST | 14：08：22．1 | 54328.48 | 54907.43 | 54907.42 | 54907.43 | 54357.43 | －28．95 |
| NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EAST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WEST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

＊ C is the difference in the total field between the Blackburn or Meanook Observatory value（ $O$ ）and the value（ B ）at the point above the crossroads at a given height．Blackburn Observatory： 1000 Feet，$C=(O-B)=550 n T ; 500$ Feet，$C=556 n T$

Meanook Observatory： 1000 Feet，$C=(O-B)=0 n T ; 500$ Feet，$C=0 n T$
Total $=$ $\qquad$ nT

Average North－South Heading Error（T6 North－T6 South）＝ $\qquad$ nT Average East－West Heading Error（T6 East－T6 West）＝ nT $\qquad$ nT

## AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY SYSTEM CALIBRATION TEST RANGES AT BOURGET, ONTARIO AND MEANOOK, ALBERTA

AIRCRAFT TYPE AND REGISTRATION: PIPER PA31 C-FWNG
ORGANIZATION (COMPANY): NOVATEM INC.
MAGNETOMETER TYPE: GEOMETRICS, RIGHT WING
MAGNETOMETER SERIAL NUMBER:
COMPILED BY: OLIVIER SAVIGNET, ENG

DATE: 2011.06.06
HEIGHT FLOWN: 1000 FEET
SAMPLING RATE: 10 / SECOND
DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM: NOVATEM INC.

| Direction of flight across the Crossroads | Time that Survey Aircraft was over the Crossroads (HH/MM/SS) Greenwich Mean Time | Total Field Value ( nT ) Recorded in Survey Aircraft over Crossroads (T1) | Observatory Diurnal Reading at Previous Minute i.e. <br> Hours + Minutes <br> (T2) from Printout | Observatory Diurnal Reading at Subsequent Minute i.e. $H$ hours + $(M+1)$ mins. (T3) from Printout | Interpolated Observatory Diurnal Reading at Time H hours +M mins + S sec $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} 4=\mathrm{T} 2+\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{~T} 3-\mathrm{T} 2) \\ ---\mathrm{C} \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | Calculated Observatory Value $\mathrm{T} 5=\mathrm{T} 4-\mathrm{C}^{*}$ | Error <br> Value T6 = T1 T5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORTH | 14:34:23.4 | 54341.16 | 54903.45 | 54904.47 | 54903.49 | 54353.49 | -12.33 |
| SOUTH | 14:16:02.9 | 54345.89 | 54906.90 | 54907.15 | 54906.98 | 54356.98 | -11.09 |
| EAST | 14:26:04.9 | 54350.27 | 54905.21 | 54903.13 | 54904.79 | 54354.79 | -4.52 |
| WEST | 14:08:22.1 | 54342.58 | 54907.43 | 54907.42 | 54907.43 | 54357.43 | -14.85 |
| NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EAST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WEST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* C is the difference in the total field between the Blackburn or Meanook Observatory value ( $O$ ) and the value ( B ) at the point above the crossroads at a given height. Blackburn Observatory: 1000 Feet, $C=(O-B)=550 n T ; 500$ Feet, $C=556 n T$

Meanook Observatory: 1000 Feet, $C=(O-B)=0 n T ; 500$ Feet, $C=0 n T$
Total $=$ $\qquad$ $n T$

Average North-South Heading Error (T6 North - T6 South) = $\qquad$ nT Average East-West Heading Error (T6 East - T6 West) = nT $\qquad$ nT

## AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY SYSTEM CALIBRATION TEST RANGES AT BOURGET, ONTARIO AND MEANOOK, ALBERTA

AIRCRAFT TYPE AND REGISTRATION: PIPER PA31 C-FWNG
ORGANIZATION (COMPANY): NOVATEM INC.
MAGNETOMETER TYPE: GEOMETRICS, TAIL BOOM
MAGNETOMETER SERIAL NUMBER:
COMPILED BY: OLIVIER SAVIGNET, ENG

DATE: 2011.06.06
HEIGHT FLOWN: 1000_FEET
SAMPLING RATE: 10 / SECOND
DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM: NOVATEM INC.

| Direction of flight across the Crossroads | Time that Survey Aircraft was over the Crossroads <br> (HH/MM/SS) <br> Greenwich Mean Time | Total Field Value ( nT ) Recorded in Survey Aircraft over Crossroads (T1) | Observatory Diurnal Reading at Previous Minute i.e. <br> Hours + Minutes <br> (T2) from Printout | Observatory Diurnal Reading at Subsequent Minute i.e. $H$ hours + $(M+1)$ mins. (T3) from Printout | Interpolated Observatory Diurnal Reading at Time H hours +M mins +S sec $\mathrm{T} 4=\mathrm{T} 2+\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{T} 3-\mathrm{T} 2)$ -ーー- - <br> 60 | Calculated Observatory Value $\mathrm{T} 5=\mathrm{T} 4-\mathrm{C}^{*}$ | Error Value T6 = T1 T5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORTH | 14:34:23.4 | 54348.75 | 54903.45 | 54904.47 | 54903.49 | 54353.49 | -4.74 |
| SOUTH | 14:16:02.9 | 54349.64 | 54906.90 | 54907.15 | 54906.98 | 54356.98 | -7.34 |
| EAST | 14:26:04.9 | 54346.29 | 54905.21 | 54903.13 | 54904.79 | 54354.79 | -8.5 |
| WEST | 14:08:22.1 | 54350.99 | 54907.43 | 54907.42 | 54907.43 | 54357.43 | -6.44 |
| NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EAST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WEST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* C is the difference in the total field between the Blackburn or Meanook Observatory value ( $O$ ) and the value ( B ) at the point above the crossroads at a given height. Blackburn Observatory: 1000 Feet, $\mathrm{C}=(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{B})=550 \mathrm{nT}$; 500 Feet, $\mathrm{C}=556 \mathrm{nT}$

$$
\text { Meanook Observatory: } 1000 \text { Feet, } C=(O-B)=0 n T ; 500 \text { Feet, } C=0 n T
$$

$\qquad$ nT

Average North-South Heading Error (T6 North - T6 South) = $\qquad$ nT Average East-West Heading Error (T6 East - T6 West) = nT $\qquad$ nT

## E. Test line and QC tests

## FRAS WEST

Date: 2011.06 - 2011.08
Location: Alta airport, NORWAY
Instrument: Spectrometer, RSI RSX500, 50.16L down, 12.54L up, 2Hz
Flying Heights: 60 m


## FRAS EAST

Date: 2012.06-2012.10
Location: Kirkenesairport, NORWAY
Instrument: Spectrometer, RSI RSX500, 50.16L down, 12.54 L up, $\mathbf{2 H z}$

Flying Heights: 60m


During the survey, quality control is carried out by the project manager on site. Controls on the quality are integrated in the normal process of acquisition and start as soon as the flight path is established and end at the delivery of the final products.

A survey test lines will be flown at the beginning of each flight as a check on system sensitivity, the stability of the magnetometers and spectrometers, and finally to monitor the effect of soil moisture in the area, (Variation of thorium concentration less than 10\% after corrections on every flight).

Results will be presented in the Weekly Report as mean values difference over the average value measured within the duration of the project. The extension of the test line is about 10 km . The measurement over the water will be used for the calibration of the gamma ray upward looking detector.

After each flight, the raw data are inspected to make sure that there are no missing data or corrupted data. Data are then saved on an independent and secure location. For each flight, the following controls are then carried out in priority, to ensure:

- The deviations on both sides of the flight lines ( $\pm 50 \mathrm{~m}$ over 5000 m )
- $\quad$ The altitude deviations of the flight lines ( 60 m above the drape surface over 3000 m )
- $\quad$ Spacing between each measurement ( $225 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \pm 25 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ over 5000 m )
- The diurnal drifts ( $100 \mathrm{nT} / \mathrm{h}, 35 \mathrm{nT} / 10 \mathrm{~min}, 15 \mathrm{nT} / 2 \mathrm{~min}$ )
- The noise level of the data (Mean $4^{\text {th }}$ difference over 4000 m less than 1.6 )

Quality control maps are then issued in the Weekly Report and sent to the NGU representative every weekend of the project duration.

Finally, the following radiometric checks are performed every morning to ensure spectra constancy and are included in the Weekly Report:

- Stabilisation better than $\pm 25 \mathrm{keV}$ measured on the $2.62 \mathrm{MeV}{ }^{208} \mathrm{TI}$ peak
- FWHM better than 200 keV measured on the $2.62 \mathrm{MeV}^{208} \mathrm{TI}$ peak
- Careful verification of each profile (and spectra) to spot spikes, jumps or interruptions in the readings
- Statistical calculation of the mean spectra for each line to insure potassium and thorium peak stability (drift less than 4 channels on the thorium peak)
- Correction and gridding of preliminary grids to evaluate data coherence and consistency.


## F. COSMIC AND AIRCRAFT BACKGROUND CORRECTION

Date: 2011.07.07
Location: North West of Alta, Norwegian Sea, NORWAY

Instrument: Spectrometer, RSI RSX500, 50.16L down, 12.54 L up, $\mathbf{2 H z}$

Temperature: $16.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at sea level
Pressure: 101.4 kPa at sea level
Flying Heights: $1500 \mathrm{~m}-3000 \mathrm{~m}$


To determine the cosmic and aircraft background, the spectrometer used records all incident particles above 3 MeV in the Cosmic channel. Steps are flown at 6 equidistant heights, from 1500 m to 3000 m and over the sea to reduce the presence of radon. Furthermore, in order to minimize statistical errors, each step is 18 km long and lasts around 8 minutes.

It was established that no radon contamination is notably apparent for which it would result in a breakdown of the linear relationship. Mean counts and linear relations of the cosmic radiations in the various spectral windows are represented below.

| Altimeter <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Cosmic <br> Dn | Cosmic <br> Up | Total <br> Count | Potassium | Uranium | Thorium | Up <br> Uranium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1504.37 | 186.66 | 51.05 | 260.16 | 23.64 | 10.50 | 10.74 | 2.44 |
| 1803.73 | 214.62 | 58.67 | 277.09 | 24.90 | 11.04 | 12.62 | 2.75 |
| 2102.91 | 246.73 | 68.12 | 308.78 | 26.68 | 12.42 | 14.40 | 3.32 |
| 2400.89 | 283.39 | 78.02 | 353.45 | 29.01 | 14.67 | 16.90 | 3.82 |
| 2700.43 | 329.82 | 89.93 | 406.47 | 32.00 | 16.84 | 20.31 | 4.41 |
| 2998.63 | 380.02 | 105.18 | 470.56 | 35.28 | 20.16 | 23.85 | 5.35 |

Table 2 : Steps averaged data

| Background | Total Count | Potassium | Uranium | Thorium | Up Uranium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aircraft | 42.3 | 11.9 | 0.3 | -2.0 | -0.4 |
| Cosmic | 1.110 | 0.061 | 0.051 | 0.068 | 0.054 |

Table 3 : Cosmic \& Aircraft background coefficients
Notice that the coefficient of determination is remarkably high for every window. Lower coefficients would have been characteristic of the radon concentration variation in the air during the flight as the thorium window is less affected and the uranium and total count are closely correlated. However, the only effect of varying radon during the cosmic-ray calibration flights will be an unknown radon component which will be removed during radon processing, as it is demonstrated in Grasty and Minty, AGSO 1995/60.


G. UPWARD DETECTOR COEFFICIENTS

Location: Alta 2011
Instrument:


Figure 4 : Background correction

LOOKING CORRECTION

Bay, NORWAY,

Spectrometer: RSI RSX500, 50.16L down, 12.54L up, 2Hz
Flying Height: 60 m

In order to determine the relationship between the upward and downward detector count rates for radon in the air, series of flights over water, where there is no contribution from the ground, will be done as a part of the test line. Due to the scale of the survey, a considerable amount of data is recorded close to the area. Location of the water surface is shown on the test line's map.

Prior to the analysis, aircraft background and cosmic component are removed and the dead time correction done. Since the cosmic and aircraft background calibration test leaded to highly reliable results, shown by the coefficients of determination $R_{2}$ in each window, we expect linear constants $b_{n}$ to be close to zero. In addition, in order to minimize the statistical noise, only series over 20 valid counts are used. The results are presented in the following graphs.

Coefficients determined can have sometime a negative value. That can be explained by a variation of radon concentration during the calibration of the cosmic radiation. This unknown radon component is precisely removed by considering the given residual components at the time of the radon correction; results described in Grasty and Minty, AGSO 1995/60.



The constants above water for the four windows are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{u}, b_{u}=0.280,0.33 \\
& a_{K}, b_{K}=0.95,3.18 \\
& a_{T h}, b_{T h}=0.05,0.52 \\
& a_{T C}, b_{T C}=14.49,12.22
\end{aligned}
$$

The component of the upward detector count rate originating from the ground, $u_{g}$, will depend on the concentration of $U$ an $T h$ in the ground, as will the components of $U$ and Th downward window count rates, $U_{g}$ and $T h_{g}$, that originate from the ground. In order to minimize the statistical errors, the three components were calculated by subtracting flights above water present on Stad at the values adjacent on the firm ground. Numerous sites have thus been evaluated on the block. Finally, from the series of calculated values of $U_{g}, U_{g}$ and $T h_{g}$, the calibration factors, $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$, are determined by the least squares method described in IAEA Technical reports series No.323.

| $\mathbf{a}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{a}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.035 | 0.045 |

## H. Pads calibration

## RADIATION SOLUTIONS INC

## CALIBRATION SHEET

Instrument: RSX-5


| Stripping Constant | "this system" | "normal" |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Alpha | $\mathbf{0 . 2 6 7}$ | 0.250 |
| Beta | $\mathbf{0 . 4 0 1}$ | 0.400 |
| Gamma | $\mathbf{0 . 7 6 4}$ | 0.810 |
| a | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 7}$ | 0.060 |
| b | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 0 1}$ | 0.000 |
| g | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ | 0.003 |


| ROI\# | Channel | IAEA Specification <br> [keV] | Label |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $137-937$ | $410-2810$ | Total Count |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $457-523$ | $1370-1570$ | Potassium K |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $553-620$ | $1660-1860$ | Uranium U |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $803-937$ | $2410-2810$ | Thorium Th |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ |  |  | Uranium Upper U |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $553-620$ | $1660-1860$ |  |


| Det\# | Peak Cs | Cs FWHM |  | Peak Th | Th FWHM |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 219.86 | 7.15 |  | 872.88 | 4.06 |
| A2 | 219.38 | 7.44 |  | 871.70 | 4.15 |
| A3 | 219.67 | 7.36 |  | 872.60 | 4.01 |
| A4 | 219.87 | 7.29 |  | 872.54 | 4.28 |
| Sum Dn | 219.69 | $\mathbf{7 . 3 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{8 7 2 . 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 3}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum Up | $\mathbf{2 2 0 . 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 2 . 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 7 5}$ |  |
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## RADIATION SOLUTIONS INC

## CALIBRATION SHEET

|  |  | Instrument: | RSX-5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Customer: | Novatem |  |  |
| Contact: | Pascal Mouge | Date: | May 4, 2011 |
| Console : | N/A | Tech: | GP |
| Detector 1: | 5577 | Job Order: | SO¹947 |
| Detector 2: | N/A | Customer PO | POFEmall |
| Channels: | 1024 | ADC Offset: N/A |  |

High Voltages

| A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 | A5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 683 | 680 | 680 | 701 | 704 |


| Stripping Constant | "this system" | "normal" |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Alpha | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7 2}$ | 0.250 |
| Beta | $\mathbf{0 . 4 0 4}$ | 0.400 |
| Gamma | $\mathbf{0 . 7 6 6}$ | 0.810 |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 8}$ | 0.060 |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 3}$ | 0.000 |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | $-\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2}$ | 0.003 |


| ROif | Channel | IAEA Specification <br> $[\mathrm{keV}]$ | Label |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $137-937$ | $410-2810$ | Total Count |
| 2 | $457-523$ | $1370-1570$ | Potassium K |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $553-620$ | $1660-1860$ | Uranium U |
| 4 | $803-937$ | $2410-2810$ | Thorium Th |
| 5 |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  | Uranium Upper U |
| 8 | $553-620$ | $1660-1860$ |  |


| Det | Peak Cs | Cs FWHM |  | Peak Th | Th FWHM |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 220.15 | 7.32 |  | 872.48 | $\mathbf{4 . 1 5}$ |
| A2 | 220.00 | 7.52 |  | 872.35 | 4.24 |
| A3 | 219.93 | 7.54 | 871.56 | 4.31 |  |
| A4 | 219.00 | 7.40 | 872.06 | $\mathbf{4 . 1 4}$ |  |
| Sum Dn | 219.76 | $\mathbf{7 . 4 8}$ |  | 872.13 | $\mathbf{4 . 2 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum Up | $\mathbf{2 1 8 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 1 . 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$ |  |
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## RADIATION SOLUTIONS INC

## CALIBRATION SHEET

|  |  | Instrument: | RSX-5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Customer: | Novatem |  |  |
| Contact: | Pascal Mouge | Date: | May 24, 2011 |
| Console : | N/A | Tech:: | GP |
| Detector 1: 5510 | Job Order: | SO\#1947 |  |
| Detector 2: | N/A | Customer PO | PO\#Emall |
| Channels: | 1024 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

High Voltages

| A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 | A5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 635 | 675 | 649 | 640 | 704 |


| Stripping Constant | "this system" | "normal" |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Alpha | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7 3}$ | 0.250 |
| Beta | $\mathbf{0 . 4 0 1}$ | 0.400 |
| Gamma | $\mathbf{0 . 7 7 1}$ | 0.810 |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 7}$ | 0.060 |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ | 0.000 |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 0 1}$ | 0.003 |


| ROIII | Channel | IAEA Specification <br> [keV | Label |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $137-937$ | $410-2810$ | Total Count |
| 2 | $457-523$ | $1370-1570$ | Potassium K |
| 3 | $553-620$ | $1660-1860$ | Uranium U |
| 4 | $803-937$ | $2410-2810$ | Thorium Th |
| 5 |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |
| 8 | $553-620$ | $1660-1860$ | Uranium Upper U |


| Det\# | Peak Cs | Cs FWHM |  | Peak Th | Th FWHM |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 221.02 | 7.29 |  | 871.80 | 4.08 |
| A2 | 221.07 | 7.32 |  | 871.65 | $\mathbf{4 . 2 0}$ |
| A3 | 220.71 | 7.48 |  | 871.72 | 4.23 |
| A4 | 221.04 | 7.23 |  | 872.28 | $\mathbf{4 . 0 8}$ |
| Sum Dn | 220.96 | $\mathbf{7 . 3 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{8 7 1 . 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum Up | $\mathbf{2 2 1 . 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 4 6}$ |  | $\mathbf{8 7 2 . 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2 6}$ |
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## I. Height attenuation \& Sensitivity

Date: 2011.06.06
Location: Breckenridge, Quebec, CANADA
Instruments: - Novatem Inc., RSX500, 50.16L down, 12.54L up, 2Hz

- Geological Survey of Canada, Portable spectrometer for ground measurements
Test Area: $\mathrm{TC}=54.94 \mathrm{nGy} / \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{K}=1.96 \%$, eU= $1.55 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}=8.20 \mathrm{ppm}$

Temperature: $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at sea level
Pressure: 100.2 kPa at sea level
Flying Height: 50-230m


| Altimeter <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | STP <br> Corrected | Total Count | Potassium | Uranium | Thorium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49.21 | 46.69 | 1704.62 | 215.03 | 32.9 | 45.89 |
| 79.98 | 75.75 | 1420.12 | 170.74 | 28.78 | 38.34 |
| 108.65 | 102.88 | 1207.29 | 139.5 | 24.94 | 32.29 |
| 138.35 | 130.6 | 1038.56 | 115.03 | 23.03 | 27.6 |
| 167.01 | 157.21 | 906.02 | 96.63 | 21.06 | 24.87 |

Table 4 : Test Data (cps)

| Altimeter <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | STP <br> Corrected | Total Count | Potassium | Uranium | Thorium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56.29 | 50.6 | 206.23 | 22.74 | 8.43 | 6.28 |
| 86.83 | 78.94 | 206.74 | 21.54 | 8.37 | 6.11 |
| 118.26 | 106.93 | 208.69 | 22.28 | 9.08 | 5.9 |
| 146.52 | 133.03 | 209.99 | 22.23 | 8.76 | 7.02 |
| 174.34 | 159.15 | 209.25 | 22.14 | 9.01 | 6.92 |

Table 5 : Background Data (cps)

| $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{g}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.271 | 0.402 | 0.767 | 0.047 | 0.001 | -0.001 |

Table 6 : Stripping ratios

| Total Count | Potassium | Uranium | Thorium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1498.39 | 171.71 | 13.55 | 39.78 |
| 1213.38 | 131.74 | 11.07 | 32.38 |
| 998.6 | 103.29 | 7.82 | 26.59 |
| 828.57 | 80.51 | 7.79 | 20.66 |
| 696.77 | 63.84 | 6.14 | 18.06 |

Table 7 : Background-Corrected \& Stripped Counts (cps))
After dead time correction, mean count rates of all four windows are then corrected from the cosmic radiation, atmospheric radioactivity and aircraft background by subtracting adjacent values over water. STP corrected Compton stripping ratios are then applied to the count
rates. The stripped count rates at each altitude are finally fitted to the exponential function to give the height attenuation coefficients. Figure 1 shows the curves for all four windows, determined from the test strip.


Figure 5 : Exponential height attenuation for all four windows
Broad source sensitivity for each window was calculated using concentration of the radioelement measured at ground level on the strip and for a final STP height of 60 m . All the results are shown in table 17.

|  | Total Count | Potassium | Uranium | Thorium |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATTENUATION | $-0.00693 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ | $-0.00895 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ | $-0.00702 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ | $-0.00735 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ |
| SENSITIVITY | $24.7 \mathrm{cps} / \mathrm{nGy} / \mathrm{h}$ | $77.5 \mathrm{cps} / \%$ | $7.73 \mathrm{cps} / \mathrm{ppm}$ | $4.4 \mathrm{cps} / \mathrm{ppm}$ |

Table 8 : Attenuation coefficients \& Sensitivity ( 60 m )

## J. LAG TEST

## Date:

2012.02.15

Location: Flyplasstunnelen, Fv137 Vigra Airport, NORWAY

## Aircraft: PA31 C-FWNG

Instrument: Magnetometers: G-823 Cesium magnetometer, $\mathbf{1 0 H z}$
Heights: $\quad 60 \mathrm{~m}$


Taking into account the spatial difference between the GPS antenna and the different magnetometers, the following results show that there is almost no time lag in the data records. Note that the spatial lag will be taking into account in the processing in order to replace each magnetometer in the space for gradient enhancement.

MAG 1 (Left wing tip pod)

| LINE | HEADING <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | YAW <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | ALTITUDE <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | TIME <br> (HHMMSS) | $\mathbf{X ( m )}$ | $\mathbf{Y ( m )}$ | SPEED <br> $(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s})$ | HIGH <br> PASS <br> PILTERED <br> MAG ( $\mathbf{n T}$ ) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1:0 | 70 | 60.32 | 64.79 | 143856.7 | 352159.7 | 6940220.8 | 58.9 | 51657.510 |
| L2:0 | 250 | 245.78 | 62.95 | 144054.2 | 352151.4 | 6940221.2 | 61.7 | 51673.150 |


| LINE | HEADING <br> $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YAW } \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | ALTITUDE <br> (m) | TIME (HHMMSS) | X (m) | $Y(m)$ | SPEED ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | HIGH PASS FILTERED MAG (nT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L3:0 | 70 | 61.64 | 60.47 | 144312.6 | 352164.6 | 6940221.7 | 56.1 | 51694.060 |
| L4:0 | 250 | 244.80 | 62.90 | 144523.1 | 352149.6 | 6940221.0 | 58.9 | 51671.060 |
|  |  |  |  |  | MEAN SPEED $=57.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | DISTANCE $=14.996 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LAG $=0.130 \mathrm{sec}$ |  |  |  |


| LINE | HEADING <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | YAW <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | ALTITUDE <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | TIME <br> (HHMMSS) | $\mathbf{X ( m )}$ | $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{m})$ | SPEED <br> $(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s})$ | HIGH <br> PASS <br> FILTERED <br> MAG $(\mathbf{n T})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L5:0 | 68 | 71.61 | 276.038 | 71259.3 | 609880.28 | 7825645.32 | 64.17 | 1.086 |
| L6:0 | 248 | 249.12 | 271.848 | 71534.5 | 609891.43 | 7825659.32 | 68.62 | 1.567 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { MEAN SPEED } & =57.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \\
\text { DISTANCE } & =12.398 \mathrm{~m} \\
\text { LAG } & =0.108 \mathbf{~ s e c}
\end{aligned}
$$

MAG 2 (Right wing tip pod)

| LINE | HEADING <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | YAW <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | ALTITUDE <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | TIME <br> (HHMMSS) | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{m})$ | SPEED <br> $(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s})$ | HIGH <br> PASS <br> PILTERED <br> MAG ( $\mathbf{n T}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1:0 | 70 | 60.32 | 64.79 | 143856.7 | 352159.7 | 6940220.8 | 58.9 | 51682.470 |
| L2:0 | 250 | 245.78 | 62.95 | 144054.2 | 352151.4 | 6940221.2 | 61.7 | 51699.550 |


| MEAN SPEED | $=60.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| DISTANCE | $=8.350$ | m |
| LAG | $=\mathbf{0 . 0 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{~ s e c}$ |


| LINE | HEADING <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | YAW <br> $\left({ }^{( }\right)$ | ALTITUDE <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | TIME <br> (HHMMSS) | $\mathbf{X ( m )}$ | $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{m})$ | SPEED <br> $(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s})$ | HIGH <br> PASS <br> FILTERED <br> MAG ( $\mathbf{n T}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L3:0 | 70 | 61.64 | 60.47 | 144312.6 | 352164.6 | 6940221.7 | 56.1 | 51710.720 |
| L4:0 | 250 | 244.80 | 62.90 | 144523.1 | 352149.6 | 6940221.0 | 58.9 | 51697.300 |

MEAN SPEED $=\quad 57.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
DISTANCE $=14.996 \mathrm{~m}$
LAG $=0.130 \mathrm{sec}$

| LINE | HEADING <br> $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | YAW ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | ALtitude <br> (m) | TIME (HHMMSS) | X (m) | $Y$ (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { SPEED } \\ (\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIGH } \\ & \text { PASS } \\ & \text { FILTERED } \\ & \text { MAG (nT) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L5:0 | 70 | 61.19 | 61.92 | 144742.0 | 352165.0 | 6940220.6 | 56.7 | 51697.450 |
| L6:0 | 250 | 244.48 | 64.26 | 144954.7 | 352153.8 | 6940215.2 | 58.6 | 51699.840 |
|  |  |  |  |  | MEAN SPEED $=57.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | DISTANCE $=12.398 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LAG $=0.108 \mathrm{sec}$ |  |  |  |

MAG 3 (Tail boom)

| LINE | HEADING <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | YAW <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | ALTITUDE <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | TIME <br> (HHMMSS) | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{m})$ | SPEED <br> $(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s})$ | HIGH <br> PASS <br> PILTERED <br> MAG ( $\mathbf{n T}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1:0 | 70 | 60.66 | 64.84 | 143856.9 | 352170.6 | 6940225.3 | 58.9 | 51687.020 |
| L2:0 | 250 | 245.69 | 62.89 | 144054.3 | 352145.6 | 6940218.9 | 61.7 | 51700.870 |

MEAN SPEED $=\quad 60.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
LAG $=0.214 \mathrm{sec}$

| LINE | HEADING <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | YAW <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | ALTITUDE <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | TIME <br> $($ HHMMSS $)$ | $\mathbf{X ( m )}$ | $\mathbf{Y ( m )}$ | SPEED <br> $(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s})$ | HIGH <br> PASS <br> FILTERED <br> MAG ( $\mathbf{n T})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L3:0 | 70 | 61.53 | 60.44 | 144312.7 | 352169.8 | 6940223.8 | 56.1 | 51718.830 |
| L4:0 | 250 | 244.89 | 62.77 | 144523.2 | 352144.2 | 6940218.7 | 58.9 | 51701.230 |


| LINE | HEADING <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | YAW <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | ALTITUDE <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | TIME <br> $($ HHMMSS $)$ | $\mathbf{X ( m )}$ | $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{m})$ | SPEED <br> $(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s})$ | HIGH <br> PASS <br> PILTERED <br> MAG ( $\mathbf{n T})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L5:0 | 70 | 61.23 | 61.93 | 144742.1 | 352170.2 | 6940222.8 | 56.9 | 51708.410 |
| L6:0 | 250 | 244.53 | 64.23 | 144954.8 | 352148.4 | 6940213.0 | 58.6 | 51689.800 |

MEAN SPEED $=57.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
DISTANCE $=23.920 \mathrm{~m}$
LAG $=0.207 \mathrm{sec}$

## K. Laser and Radar calibration

Date: 2011.07.10
Location: Alta airport (alt: 2.74 m), NORWAY
Instrument: - GPS receiver: Novatel Propak $\mathrm{V} 3, \mathbf{1 0 H z}$

- Laser altimeter: Optech Sentinel $3100, \mathbf{1 0 H z}$
- Radar altimeter: Free Flight TRA 4000, 10Hz

Temperature: $21.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at sea level
Pressure: 101.1 kPa at sea level
Flying Heights: 40m-180m


To determine coefficients of calibration for the laser and radar altimeter, steps are flown at 5 different heights, from 40 m to 180 m and over the Alta airport strip in order to have a surface as flat as possible for the calibration. In order to minimize errors, each step is 2 km long.

The different altitudes recorded show a perfect linearity with the post processed GPS altitude. The airport altitude ( 2.75 m ) was removed from the mean altitude recorded in order to evaluate the results. Finally, linear relations between the different altimeters are plotted below and calibration constants needed for processing are provided.

| GPS altitude | Adjusted GPS <br> altitude (m) | Laser altitude <br> $\mathbf{( m )}$ | Radar altitude (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40.55 | 37.80 | 37.38 | 37.66 |
| 89.80 | 87.05 | 86.73 | 88.14 |
| 119.92 | 117.17 | 116.93 | 119.02 |
| 149.39 | 146.64 | 146.35 | 149.26 |
| 179.79 | 177.04 | 176.80 | 180.60 |

Table 9: Radar calibration


Figure 6 : Laser calibration


Figure 7 : Radar calibration

## CALIBRATION TESTS - C-GJDD

## B. Magnetometers noise

Date: 2011.11.01
Location: Vigra airport, Ålesund NORWAY
Aircraft: PA31 C-GJDD
Instruments: Magnetometers: G-823 Cesium magnetometer, $\mathbf{1 0 H z}$

Temperature: $17.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at sea level
Pressure: $\quad 998.5 \mathrm{kPa}$ at sea level
Height: $\quad 60 \mathrm{~m}$


Noise level is evaluated on a test line over a distance greater than 4 km . For convenience, the 7 km test line used during the survey was then analysed for the purpose.

The graphic below shows the normalized fourth difference for each of the three magnetometers installed in the aircrafts. Requirement for the Stad campaign is 0.1 units fourth difference, which is clearly above the three mounted magnetometers evaluated.

MAGR1, 2 and 3 represents left, right and tail total raw magnetic field respectively.


Figure 8: Magnetometers $4^{\text {th }}$ difference (Aircraft GJDD)

## C. COMPENSATION BASED ON A PHYSICAL MODEL

Date: 2012.10.02
Location: North West of Alta, Norwegian Sea, NORWAY

## Aircraft: PA31 C-GJDD

Instrument: Magnetometers onboard: G823 Cesium magnetometer, 10 Hz
Inertial measurement unit
(IMU), Honeywell HG 1700 AG62, 10Hz

Temperature: $9.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at sea level
Pressure: $\quad 100.5 \mathrm{kPa}$ at sea level
Height: 3000 m


## Calibration flight (FOM)

In practice, the calibration flight follows a precise and reproducible geometry, called Figure of Merit (FOM) during which the aircraft describes successively three pitch oscillations ( $\pm 5^{\circ}$ ), three roll oscillations $\left( \pm 10^{\circ}\right)$ and three yaw oscillations $\left( \pm 5^{\circ}\right)$ with a period of a few seconds. The four principal directions are described this way. The turns between each line are not taken into account for the calculation of the coefficients.

## Estimation of the coefficients

The calculation of the coefficients is to determine the mathematical solution which minimizes the differences between the measured signals and those generated by the model. The disturbance field being described as a linear combination of the direction cosine and terrestrial field, the least square algorithm is particularly designated. The problems caused by the correlations between the columns of the matrix to inverse are easy to diagnose using the eigenvalues of the matrix. To do so, we calculate an index by submitting the ratio of the largest on the smallest eigenvalue. In practice, it is considered that this index should not exceed $10^{3}$. In certain cases, we will be able to observe strong colinearities when certain variables are not used, such as the absence of eddy currents. An effective manner to solve this problem of multicollinearity consists in using the method known as regression ridge. In the case where the matrix is badly conditioned, then the coefficients have a variance much little than when a least square algorithm is used. The general idea is to shift the eigenvalues of the matrix by a small constant. Thus the largest eigenvalues, which have a real significance, are slightly modified, whereas the lowest eigenvalues - which cause problem at the inversion - are significantly modified. The implementation of the regression ridge thus allowed us to avoid the problems of numerical instability and to improve our algorithm.

Results
The following figures show the results obtained by the calibration flights carried out at 3000 m of altitude North West of Vigra in the Norwegian Sea, NORWAY. As the blocks have different flight line orientations, two Figures of Merit were respectively flown according to the course:

| Branch | SAS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Line 1 | N 0 |
| Line 2 | N 270 |
| Line 3 | N 180 |
| Line 4 | N 90 |

Table 10 : FOM line directions
Flying the calibration figures in the same directions as the survey flight lines, we optimize the coefficients for these directions, as they are the one we will use.


Figure 9 : Figure of merit over regional magnetic first vertical derivative
Each figure of merit includes 4 lines (L1, L2, L3 and L4) flown at high altitude, in an area with a low vertical gradient, and following a figure in a clover shape. Each line is thus flown in the two directions in respect with the direction of the lines and tie-lines.

## D. Heading and absolute accuracy test

| Date: | N/A |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location: | Bourget, Quebec, <br> CANADA |
| Aircraft: | PA31 C-GJDD |
| Instrument: | Magnetometers: G-823 <br> Cesium magnetometer, <br>  <br>  <br> 10Hz |
| Temperature:N/A |  |
| Pressure: | N/A |
| Height: | N/A |



This test is performed over an easily recognised point on the ground. The purpose is to ensure that aeromagnetic survey system measures the total field values with an absolute accuracy of 10 nT or less after the aircraft has been compensated. The result from the test together with the FOM is also used to remove aircraft influence on magnetic data (heading error).

Analysis of the synchronisation tests and noise level of the magnetometers, chapter $A$ and $B$ of the present report, compile for each aircraft and all the test lines, shows clearly that both aircrafts have an absolute difference of less than 10 nT .

Since the compensation also corrects the heading error, there is no need for an extra calibration on C-GJDD.

## E. Test line and QC tests

## FRAS WEST

Date: 2011.06-2011.08
Location: Alta airport, NORWAY
Instrument: Spectrometer, RSI RSX500, 50.16L down, 12.54 L up, $\mathbf{2 H z}$
Flying Heights: 60 m


## FRAS EAST

Date: 2012.06-2012.10
Location: Kirkenesairport, NORWAY
Instrument: Spectrometer, RSI RSX500, 50.16L down, 12.54 L up, $\mathbf{2 H z}$

Flying Heights: 60m


During the survey, quality control is carried out by the project manager on site. Controls on the quality are integrated in the normal process of acquisition and start as soon as the flight path is established and end at the delivery of the final products.

A survey test lines will be flown at the beginning of each flight as a check on system sensitivity, the stability of the magnetometers and spectrometers, and finally to monitor the effect of soil moisture in the area, (Variation of thorium concentration less than $10 \%$ after corrections on every flight).

Results will be presented in the Weekly Report as mean values difference over the average value measured within the duration of the project. The extension of the test line is about 10 km . The measurement over the water will be used for the calibration of the gamma ray upward looking detector.

After each flight, the raw data are inspected to make sure that there are no missing data or corrupted data. Data are then saved on an independent and secure location. For each flight, the following controls are then carried out in priority, to ensure:

- The deviations on both sides of the flight lines ( $\pm 50 \mathrm{~m}$ over 5000 m )
- The altitude deviations of the flight lines ( 60 m above the drape surface over 3000 m )
- $\quad$ Spacing between each measurement ( $225 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \pm 25 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ over 5000 m )
- The diurnal drifts ( $100 \mathrm{nT} / \mathrm{h}, 35 \mathrm{nT} / 10 \mathrm{~min}, 15 \mathrm{nT} / 2 \mathrm{~min}$ )
- The noise level of the data (Mean $4^{\text {th }}$ difference over 4000 m less than 1.6 )

Quality control maps are then issued in the Weekly Report and sent to the NGU representative every weekend of the project duration.

Finally, the following radiometric checks are performed every morning to ensure spectra constancy and are included in the Weekly Report:

- Stabilisation better than $\pm 25 \mathrm{keV}$ measured on the $2.62 \mathrm{MeV}{ }^{208} \mathrm{TI}$ peak
- FWHM better than 200 keV measured on the $2.62 \mathrm{MeV}^{208} \mathrm{TI}$ peak
- Careful verification of each profile (and spectra) to spot spikes, jumps or interruptions in the readings
- Statistical calculation of the mean spectra for each line to insure potassium and thorium peak stability (drift less than 4 channels on the thorium peak)
- Correction and gridding of preliminary grids to evaluate data coherence and consistency.


## F. COSMIC AND AIRCRAFT BACKGROUND CORRECTION

Date: 2012.10.02
Location: North West of Alta, Norwegian Sea, NORWAY
Aircraft: PA31 C-GJDD
Instrument: Spectrometer, RSI RSX500, 50.16L down, 12.54 L up, $\mathbf{2 H z}$

Temperature: $9.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at sea level
Pressure: $\quad 100.5 \mathrm{kPa}$ at sea level


Height: $\quad 1500-3000 \mathrm{~m}$

To determine the cosmic and aircraft background, the spectrometer used records all incident particles above 3 MeV in the Cosmic channel. Steps are flown at 7 equidistant heights, from 1500 m to 3000 m and over the sea to reduce the presence of radon. Furthermore, in order to minimize statistical errors, each step is 18 km long and lasts around 8 minutes.

It was established that radon contamination is notably apparent and result in a breakdown of the linear relationship. Mean counts and linear relations of the cosmic radiations in the various spectral windows are represented below. The lower flight was then rejected.

| Altimeter <br> $\mathbf{( m )}$ | Cosmic <br> Dn | Cosmic <br> Up | Total <br> Count | Potassi <br> $\mathbf{u m}$ | Urani <br> $\mathbf{u m}$ | Thori <br> um | Up <br> Uranium |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3 0 0 0 , 1 3}$ | 414,69 | 111,29 | 528,24 | 35,04 | 24,18 | 27,18 | 6,05 |
| $\mathbf{2 7 0 0 , 3 1}$ | 354,00 | 95,64 | 459,08 | 31,13 | 20,40 | 23,25 | 5,19 |
| $\mathbf{2 3 9 9 , 9 2}$ | 305,03 | 81,83 | 400,58 | 27,89 | 17,70 | 19,92 | 4,41 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 9 9 , 2 6}$ | 264,09 | 72,14 | 350,51 | 24,96 | 15,72 | 17,10 | 4,04 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 9 9 , 8 6}$ | 228,93 | 61,59 | 308,91 | 23,01 | 13,37 | 14,84 | 3,29 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 9 9 , 0 9}$ | 199,01 | 54,03 | 274,25 | 20,42 | 12,09 | 12,90 | 2,93 |

Table 11 : Steps averaged data (Aircraft GJDD)

| Background | Total Count | Potassium | Uranium | Thorium | Up Uranium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aircraft | 38.5 | 7.35 | 0.056 | 0.067 | 0.055 |
| Cosmic | 1.184 | 0.067 | 0.74 | -0.39 | -0.01 |

Table 12 : Cosmic \& Aircraft background coefficients (Aircraft GJDD)

Notice that the coefficient of determination is remarkably high for every window. Lower coefficients would have been characteristic of the radon concentration variation in the air during the flight as the thorium window is less affected and the uranium and total count are closely correlated. However, the only effect of varying radon during the cosmic-ray calibration flights will be an unknown radon component which will be removed during radon processing, as it is demonstrated in Grasty and Minty, AGSO 1995/60.




Figure 10 : Background correction

## G. UPWARD LOOKING DETECTOR CORRECTION COEFFICIENTS

Location: Coast line Western NORWAY, 2011-2012
Aircraft: PA31 C-GJDD
Instrument: $\quad$ Spectrometer, RSI RSX500, 50.16L down, 12.54L up, 2Hz
Flying Height: 60 m

In order to determine the relationship between the upward and downward detector count rates for radon in the air, series of flights over water, where there is no contribution from the ground, will be done as a part of the test line.

Prior to the analysis, aircraft background and cosmic component are removed and the dead time correction done. Since the cosmic and aircraft background calibration test leaded to highly reliable results, shown by the coefficients of determination $R_{2}$ in each window, we expect linear constants $b_{n}$ to be close to zero. In addition, in order to minimize the statistical noise, only series over 20 valid counts are used. The results are presented in the following graphs.

Coefficients determined can have sometime a negative value. That can be explained by a variation of radon concentration during the calibration of the cosmic radiation. This unknown radon component is precisely removed by considering the given residual components at the time of the radon correction; results described in Grasty and Minty, AGSO 1995/60.



The constants above water for the four windows are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{u}, b_{u}=0.297,0.03 \\
& a_{K}, b_{K}=0.846,0.93 \\
& a_{T h}, b_{T h}=0.019,0.31 \\
& a_{T C}, b_{T C}=15.64,9.45
\end{aligned}
$$

The component of the upward detector count rate originating from the ground, $u_{g}$, will depend on the concentration of $U$ an $T h$ in the ground, as will the components of $U$ and $T h$ downward window count rates, $U_{g}$ and $T h_{g}$, that originate from the ground. In order to minimize the statistical errors, the three components were calculated by subtracting flights above water present on Stad at the values adjacent on the firm ground. Numerous sites have thus been evaluated on the block. Finally, from the series of calculated values of $U_{g}, U_{g}$ and $T h_{g}$, the calibration factors, $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$, are determined by the least squares method described in IAEA Technical reports series №. 323.

| $\mathbf{a}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.030 | 0.030 |

## H. Pads calibration

## RADIATION SOLUTIONS INC

CALIBRATION SHEET

| Customer: | Novatem |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contact: | Jeremie Largeaud |
| Console : | N/A |
| Detector 1: | 5523 |
| Detector 2: | N/A |
|  |  |
| Channels: | $1024 \quad$ ADC Offset: N/A |

High Voltages

| A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 | A5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 714 | 705 | 725 | 704 | 693 |


| Stripping Constant | "this system" | "normal" |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Alpha | $\mathbf{0 . 2 8 8}$ | 0.250 |
| Beta | $\mathbf{0 . 4 0 4}$ | 0.400 |
| Gamma | $\mathbf{0 . 7 7 5}$ | 0.810 |
| a | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5 1}$ | 0.060 |
| b | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 3}$ | 0.000 |
| g | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2}$ | 0.003 |


| ROI\# | Channel | IAEA Specification <br> [keV] | Label |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $137-937$ | $410-2810$ | Total Count |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $457-523$ | $1370-1570$ | Potassium K |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $553-620$ | $1660-1860$ | Uranium U |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $803-937$ | $2410-2810$ | Thorium Th |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ |  |  | Uranium Upper U |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $553-620$ | $1660-1860$ |  |


| Det\# | Peak Cs | Cs FWHM |  | Peak Th |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 220.84 | 8.37 |  | 872.30 |
| A2 | 220.76 | 8.66 |  | 872.35 |
| A3 | 221.26 | 8.45 | 872.12 | 5.91 |
| A4 | 220.89 | 7.82 | 871.95 | 5.22 |
| Sum Dn | 220.94 | $\mathbf{8 . 3 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{8 7 2 . 1 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{5 . 0 6}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum Up | $\mathbf{2 2 1 . 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 7 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{8 7 1 . 1 2}$ |
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## RADIATION SOLUTIONS INC

## CALIBRATION SHEET

|  |  | Instrument: | RSX-5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Customer: | Novatem | Date: | May 25, 2012 |
| Contact: |  | Tech.: | GP |
| Console : | N/A | Job Order: | SO\#2166 |
| Detector 1: | 5629 | Customer PO | PO\#103040 |
| Detector 2: | N/A |  |  |
| Channels: | 1024 | ADC Offset: N/A |  |

High Voltages

| A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 642 | 661 | 642 | 61 |
|  |  |  |  |
| ant | "this system" | "normal" |  |
|  | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7 4}$ | 0.250 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{0 . 4 0 0}$ | 0.400 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{0 . 7 6 5}$ | 0.810 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5 3}$ | 0.060 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2}$ | 0.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ | 0.003 |  |


| ROI\# | Channel | IAEA Specification <br> $[\mathrm{keV}]$ | Label |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $137-937$ | $410-2810$ | Total Count |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $457-523$ | $1370-1570$ | Potassium K |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $553-620$ | $1660-1860$ | Uranium U |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $803-937$ | $2410-2810$ | Thorium Th |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ |  |  | Uranium Upper U |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $553-620$ | $1660-1860$ |  |


| Det\# | Peak Cs | Cs FWHM |  | Peak Th | Th FWHM |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 220.13 | 7.46 |  | 872.90 | 4.54 |
| A2 | 220.07 | 7.41 |  | 871.99 | 4.28 |
| A3 | 219.77 | 7.45 |  | 872.98 | 4.53 |
| A4 | 220.37 | 7.29 |  | 872.79 | 4.25 |
| Sum Dn | $\mathbf{2 2 0 . 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 4 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{8 7 2 . 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3 9}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{4 . 9 4}$ |
| Sum Up | $\mathbf{2 2 0 . 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 9 4}$ |  | $\mathbf{8 7 2 . 2 3}$ |  |

$\qquad$
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## He RADIATION SOLUTIONS INC

## CALIBRATION SHEET

|  |  | Instrument: | RSX-5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Customer: | Novatem | Date: | May 25, 2012 |
| Contact: |  | Tech.: | GP |
| Console : | N/A | Job Order: | SO\#2166 |
| Detector 1: | 5630 | Customer PO | PO\#103040 |
| Detector 2: | N/A |  |  |
| Channels: | 1024 | ADC Offset: N/A |  |

High Voltages

| A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 | A5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 633 | 635 | 635 | 645 | 640 |


| Stripping Constant | "this system" | "normal" |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Alpha | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7 5}$ | 0.250 |
| Beta | $\mathbf{0 . 4 0 4}$ | 0.400 |
| Gamma | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5 3}$ | 0.810 |
| a | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 7}$ | 0.060 |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 0 1}$ | 0.000 |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ | 0.003 |


| ROI\# | Channel | IAEA Specification <br> [keV] | Label |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $137-937$ | $410-2810$ | Total Count |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $457-523$ | $1370-1570$ | Potassium K |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $553-620$ | $1660-1860$ | Uranium U |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $803-937$ | $2410-2810$ | Thorium Th |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ |  |  | Uranium Upper U |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $553-620$ | $1660-1860$ |  |


| Det\# | Peak Cs | Cs FWHM |  | Peak Th |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 220.21 | 7.28 |  | 872.96 |
| A2 | 220.28 | 7.48 |  | 872.58 |
| A3 | 220.14 | 7.49 |  | 871.85 |
| A4 | 219.72 | 7.31 |  | 872.74 |
| Sum Dn | $\mathbf{2 2 0 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 3 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{8 7 2 . 5 7}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 4.45 |
|  |  |  |  | 4.23 |
| Sum Up | $\mathbf{2 2 0 . 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{8 7 2 . 3 3}$ |
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## I. Height attenuation \& Sensitivity

Date: 2011.06.06
Location: Breckenridge, Quebec, CANADA

Aircraft: PA31 C-GJDD
Instruments: Spectrometer, RSI RSX500, 50.16L down, 12.54L up, 2Hz 5510, 5523
Geological Survey of Canada, Portable spectrometer for ground measurements
Test Area: $\quad \mathrm{TC}=54.94 \mathrm{nGy} / \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{K}=1.96 \%$, $\mathrm{eU}=1.55 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{eTh}=8.20 \mathrm{ppm}$

Temperature: $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at sea level


Pressure: $\quad 100.2 \mathrm{kPa}$ at sea level
Height: 50-230m

| STP <br> Corrected | Total Count | Potassium | Uranium | Thorium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 6 , 8 2}$ | 1928,46 | 218,91 | 44,04 | 65,04 |
| $\mathbf{5 6 , 7 6}$ | 1680,55 | 185,14 | 39,31 | 56,48 |
| $\mathbf{8 2 , 9 1}$ | 1420,19 | 148,23 | 35,11 | 46,79 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 1 , 1 5}$ | 1206,24 | 120,63 | 30,56 | 39,31 |

Table 13 : Test Data (cps)

| STP <br> Corrected | Total Count | Potassium | Uranium | Thorium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 6 , 8 2}$ | 202,4 | 13,75 | 9,5 | 7,1 |
| $\mathbf{5 6 , 7 6}$ | 209,88 | 14,74 | 10,35 | 6,9 |
| $\mathbf{8 2 , 9 1}$ | 218,01 | 14,7 | 10,51 | 7,02 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 1 , 1 5}$ | 225,43 | 15,58 | 10,84 | 7,21 |

Table 14 : Background Data (cps)

| $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{g}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0,297 | 0,427 | 0,789 | 0,050 | 0,001 | 0,001 |

Table 15 : Stripping ratios

| Total Count | Potassium | Uranium | Thorium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 7 2 6 , 0 6}$ | 175,16 | 17,92 | 58,36 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 7 0 , 6 7}$ | 144,80 | 14,22 | 50,01 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0 2 , 1 8}$ | 111,76 | 12,32 | 40,09 |
| $\mathbf{9 8 0 , 8 1}$ | 87,35 | 9,35 | 32,40 |

Table 16 : Background-Corrected \& Stripped Counts (cps))
After dead time correction, mean count rates of all four windows are then corrected from the cosmic radiation, atmospheric radioactivity and aircraft background by subtracting adjacent values over water. STP corrected Compton stripping ratios are then applied to the count rates. The stripped count rates at each altitude are finally fitted to the exponential function to give the height attenuation coefficients. The following figure shows the curves for all four windows, determined from the test strip.


Figure 11 : Exponential height attenuation for all four windows
Broad source sensitivity for each window was calculated using concentration of the radioelement measured at ground level on the strip and for a final STP height of 60 m . All the results are shown in the following table.

|  | Total Count | Potassium | Uranium | Thorium |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATTENUATION | $-0.00760 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ | $-0.00941 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ | $-0.00837 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ | $-0.00797 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ |
| SENSITIVITY | $26,21 \mathrm{cps} / \mathrm{nGy} / \mathrm{h}$ | $71,51 \mathrm{cps} / \%$ | $9,31 \mathrm{cps} / \mathrm{ppm}$ | $5,92 \mathrm{cps} / \mathrm{ppm}$ |

Table 17 : Attenuation coefficients \& Sensitivity ( 60 m )

## J. LAG TEST

Date:
2012.02.15

Location: Flyplasstunnelen, Fv137 Vigra Airport, NORWAY
Aircraft: PA31 C-GJDD
Instrument: Magnetometers: G-823 Cesium magnetometer, $\mathbf{1 0 H z}$
Heights: 60 m


Taking into account the spatial difference between the GPS antenna and the different magnetometers, the following results show that there is almost no time lag in the data records. Note that the spatial lag will be taking into account in the processing in order to replace each magnetometer in the space for gradient enhancement.

MAG 1 (Left wing tip pod)

| LINE | HEADING <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | YAW <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | ALTITUDE <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | TIME <br> (HHMMSS) | $\mathbf{X ( m )}$ | $\mathbf{Y ( m )}$ | SPEED <br> $(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s})$ | HIGH <br> PASS <br> PILTERED <br> MAG ( $\mathbf{n T}$ ) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1:0 | 70 | 223.40 | 60.67 | 150022.5 | 352157.6 | 6940221.2 | 61.4 | 51689.810 |
| L2:0 | 250 | 176.60 | 61.40 | 150230.8 | 352156.3 | 6940221.6 | 62.8 | 51676.240 |


| LINE | HEADING <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YAW } \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | ALtitude <br> (m) | TIME (HHMMSS) | X (m) | $Y$ (m) | SPEED (m/s) | HIGH PASS FILTERED MAG (nT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L3:0 | 70 | 210.70 | 63.36 | 150517.3 | 352157.1 | 6940217.2 | 61.4 | 51674.050 |
| L4:0 | 250 | 176.80 | 67.47 | 150723.5 | 352157.9 | 6940221.5 | 62.8 | 51634.440 |
|  |  |  |  |  | MEAN SPEED $=66.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | DISTANCE = |  | 4.368 | m |
|  |  |  |  |  | LAG = |  | 0.035 sec |  |


| LINE | HEADING <br> $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YAW } \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | ALTITUDE <br> (m) | TIME (HHMMSS) | X (m) | $Y$ (m) | SPEED (m/s) | HIGH PASS FILTERED MAG (nT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L5:0 | 70 | 212.70 | 65.61 | 150950.0 | 352159.1 | 6940216.8 | 63.3 | 51656.120 |
| L6:0 | 250 | 172.30 | 64.98 | 151207.9 | 352155.1 | 6940221.4 | 60.6 | 51651.570 |
|  |  |  |  |  | MEAN SPEED $=661.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | DISTANCE = |  | $6.083$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LAG = |  | $0.049 \mathrm{sec}$ |  |

MAG 2 (Right wing tip pod)

| LINE | HEADING <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | YAW <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | ALTITUDE <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | TIME <br> (HHMMSS) | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{m})$ | SPEED <br> $(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s})$ | HIGH <br> PASS <br> PILTERED <br> MAG (nT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1:0 | 70 | 223.40 | 60.67 | 150022.5 | 352157.6 | 6940221.2 | 61.4 | 51696.560 |
| L2:0 | 250 | 176.60 | 61.40 | 150230.8 | 352156.3 | 6940221.6 | 62.8 | 51692.240 |


| MEAN SPEED | $=62.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| DISTANCE | $=0.322 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| LAG | $=0.011 \mathbf{~ s e c}$ |


| LINE | HEADING <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | YAW <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | ALTITUDE <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | TIME <br> (HHMMSS) | $\mathbf{X ( m )}$ | $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{m})$ | SPEED <br> $(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s})$ | HIGH <br> PASS <br> FITERED <br> MAG ( $\mathbf{n T}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L3:0 | 70 | 210.70 | 63.36 | 150517.3 | 352157.1 | 6940217.2 | 61.4 | 51666.260 |
| L4:0 | 250 | 176.80 | 67.47 | 150723.5 | 352157.9 | 6940221.5 | 62.8 | 51655.930 |

MEAN SPEED $=62.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
DISTANCE $=4.368 \mathrm{~m}$
LAG $=0.035 \mathrm{sec}$

| LINE | HEADING <br> ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { YAW } \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | ALTITUDE <br> (m) | TIME (HHMMSS) | X (m) | $Y$ (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPEED } \\ & (\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s}) \end{aligned}$ | HIGH PASS FILTERED MAG ( nT ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L5:0 | 70 | 212.70 | 65.61 | 150950.0 | 352159.1 | 6940216.8 | 63.3 | 51649.860 |
| L6:0 | 250 | 172.30 | 64.98 | 151207.9 | 352155.1 | 6940221.4 | 60.6 | 51665.630 |
|  |  |  |  |  | MEAN SPEED $=61.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | DISTANCE = |  | 6.083 m |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LAG = |  | 0.049 | sec |

MAG 3 (Tail boom)

| LINE | HEADING <br> $\left({ }^{( }\right)$ | YAW <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | ALTITUDE <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | TIME <br> $($ HHMMSS $)$ | $\mathbf{X ( m )}$ | $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{m})$ | SPEED <br> $(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s})$ | HIGH <br> PASS <br> FILTERED <br> MAG ( $\mathbf{n T}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1:0 | 70 | 223.30 | 60.82 | 150022.7 | 352168.9 | 6940225.9 | 61.4 | 51718.670 |
| L2:0 | 250 | 176.60 | 61.36 | 150230.9 | 352150.5 | 6940219.2 | 62.8 | 51710.760 |

MEAN SPEED $=62.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
DISTANCE $=19.582 \mathrm{~m}$
LAG $=0.158 \mathrm{sec}$

| LINE | HEADING <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | YAW <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | ALTITUDE <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | TIME <br> $($ HHMMSS $)$ | $\mathbf{X ( m )}$ | $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{m})$ | SPEED <br> $(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s})$ | HIGH <br> PASS <br> PILTERED <br> MAG ( $\mathbf{n T})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L3:0 | 70 | 210.60 | 63.32 | 150517.5 | 352168.4 | 6940221.9 | 61.4 | 51696.240 |
| L4:0 | 250 | 176.80 | 67.55 | 150723.7 | 352146.3 | 6940216.7 | 62.8 | 51670.020 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { MEAN SPEED } & =62.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \\
\text { DISTANCE } & =22.772 \mathrm{~m} \\
\text { LAG } & =0.183 \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

| LINE | HEADING <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | YAW <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | ALTITUDE <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | TIME <br> (HHMMSS) | $\mathbf{X ( m )}$ | $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{m})$ | SPEED <br> $(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s})$ | HIGH <br> PASS <br> FILTRRED <br> MAG (nT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L5:0 | 70 | 212.80 | 65.54 | 150950.2 | 352170.7 | 6940221.7 | 63.3 | 51678.350 |
| L6:0 | 250 | 172.30 | 64.93 | 151208.0 | 352149.5 | 6940219.1 | 60.8 | 51685.630 |

MEAN SPEED $=62.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
DISTANCE $=21.418 \mathrm{~m}$
LAG $=0.172 \mathrm{sec}$

## K. Laser and Radar calibration

Date: 2012.02.15
Location: Vigra airport (alt: 2.74 m ), NORWAY

Aircraft: PA31 C-GJDD
Instrument: GPS receiver: Novatel Propak - V3, 10Hz Laser altimeter: Optech Sentinel 3100, 10Hz Radar altimeter: Free Flight TRA 4000, 10Hz

Temperature: $9.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at sea level


Pressure: $\quad 100.8 \mathrm{kPa}$ at sea level
Heights: $\quad 40 \mathrm{~m}-180 \mathrm{~m}$

To determine coefficients of calibration for the laser and radar altimeter, steps are flown at 5 different heights, from 40 m to 180 m and over the Alta airport strip in order to have a surface as flat as possible for the calibration. In order to minimize errors, each step is 2 km long.

The different altitudes recorded show a perfect linearity with the post processed GPS altitude. The airport altitude ( 2.75 m ) was removed from the mean altitude recorded in order to evaluate the results. Finally, linear relations between the different altimeters are plotted below and calibration constants needed for processing are provided.

| GPS altitude | Adjusted GPS <br> altitude (m) | Laser altitude <br> $\mathbf{( m )}$ | Radar altitude (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 1 . 5 7}$ | 58.82 | 44.51 | 43.27 |
| $\mathbf{7 9 . 3 4}$ | 76.59 | 62.18 | 61.15 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 0 . 8 8}$ | 108.13 | 94.05 | 93.47 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 0 . 8 0}$ | 138.05 | 123.85 | 123.80 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 1 . 7 3}$ | 168.98 | 155.36 | 155.69 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 . 6 4}$ | 198.89 | 185.07 | 186.29 |

Table 18: Radar calibration


Figure 12 : Laser calibration


Figure 13 : Radar calibration

